G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 234

1914.
(Murrai)

Serial Nos.
1–7

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–7

Part B for Nov^r 15

SUBJECT.

Archakship of the Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami temple at Herur Kunigal Taluk

---

Venkatachar of Herur
No 1314 Dt 30.11.15

Informs him that the DC has been requested for enquiry & disposal on merits, the case re ——

GPB—10,000—23-3-15

CRes

G.R.S. 11] 1914.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inzrai)

NOTES.

234-14
File No.

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Lakshmanarayana Swami Temple at Herur village, Kunigal Taluk.

From

Venkatachar, Herur, Thro' his Advocates.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated Received 30-11-14

Diary No. 880	Enclos 5 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. order directing the removal of Venkatachar from the Archakship of the said Temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal is within time and is duly stamped.

Venkatachar has appealed to Govt against the Tumkur Dy Commrs. order removing him from the Archakship.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35 000 15 6 12

(2

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 .

Serial No.

account of his bad conduct, and prays that pending disposal of his appeal, he may not be disturbed in his possession of the inam lands of the temple and of the house which belongs to him and in which he has been residing.

The grounds for appeal are:—

(1) that the post in question is hereditary in his family and the apltt has been conducting duties for 60 years;

(2) that his removal is the outcome of Sambaji's and Patel's ill-will towards him

(3) that no specific acts of bad conduct disentitling the apltt from being Pujari has either been alleged or substantiated.

(4) that the apltt being 75 years of age, it is a question whether any bad conduct may be attributed to him.

The apltt has produced the following documents:—

(1) Muidar's Hukum dy 20th Sept 1914 Communicating the dy Commr's order.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No.

of removal.

(2) Sembaga's notice dy 13-4-1913 informing the applt. that he has committed acts contrary to the rules of his religion and inquiring as to what explanation he would offer for his removal from the Archakship and the inam land,

(3) Dy. Commrs. order of removal dy 1st August 1914 on a perusal of the Tahsildar's report.

(4) Petition addressed to the Dy. Commr. by certain reps of the village praying for the continuance of the applt. in his post etc.

Submd. for orders

M 2/12/14 A report with records may be called for from the Dy Commr, & appd.

1.12.14

Submd. file dy 5

M 5/12/14

2 Do, &c. Issue Rule - Rs. 1502 for 5th January next.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—25 000—2-11 14

(4

12].

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No.

with the records of this case.

R.o 1323 dt 16-12-14, intimating the above to hein -

3. From, the Dy Commr, Tumkur Dt.

Letter no. 97 Dt 27.8.1915.

Reports the circumstances under which the aplt Venkatachar was removed from the Archakship of Sri Lakshminarayana Swami Temple at Hemur village in the Kunigal Talk.

The Amildar's report no 1482 Dt 18th August 1914 and the office-note of the Dy. Commr's. office received with the vernacular records explain the facts of the case.

The temple of Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swami at Hemur, Kunigal Taluk, which is reported to be the hereditary deity of most of the villagers, is a muzrai institution endowed with inam lands measuring 60-a 12-g and assessed at ~~Rs~~ 125/, with a jodi of Rs 20 and odd. The lands are reported to be valuable and were in the possession of the ~~Archak~~ appellant Venkatachar (since removed from the Archakship of the above Temple) and his son Thimmappiah-

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—05 000—2-11 14

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No.

Venkatachar claims a hereditary right in the Archakship in question, and as he allowed the temple building to go to ruins, as the worship had stopped for months together as the result of the Archak's constant absence from the village, and as he was of a bad character, the villagers convened a Sabha, and put up a notice under the Sunbag's signature demanding the Archak's explanation for his misconduct and asking him to show cause why he should not be removed. He apeb was subsequently removed, and the lands were taken away from him by the villagers. The Sunbag reported the matter to the Taluk office - the Shekdar to whom the Sunbag's report was referred, submitted his Stilla with a Mahazar dy 12. 5. 1913. In this Mahazar, the villagers say that the Archak and his son have not kept the temple building in good order, that they absent themselves from the village for months together and worship is not properly conducted, that they are addicted to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

Serial No.

drinking and other immoral habits, and that it is undesirable that they should touch and worship the deity. The Amildar conducted his enquiry, and murahalikas were taken from the mylo to hand over the produce to Sirkar. In the meanwhile, Venkatachar applies to the Dy. Commissioner complaining that the villagers have forcibly removed him out of ill-will from the Archakship and praying for his restoration. The Dy. Commr called for a report from the Amildar. On 14th June 1913, the Amildar reported that the several complaints against Venkatachar were true, that he was given to understand that the Archak was given to bad habit of drinking, that in spite of his murahalika in 1908 to execute repairs etc. within 6 months, Venkatachar has allowed the temple building to go to ruins, that in spite of notice, he failed to appear before him, and recommended his removal. The Dy Commr in his order no. 111 Dy 1st August 15

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—25,000—30 4 15

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 2564 of 1914

Serial No.

M

Sanctioned the Muldar's recommendation and called for fresh proposals for the improvement of the Temple and its lands.

Against the foregoing order, Venkatachar has appealed to Govt praying his restoration to his office.

The case will be posted for hearing on the 23d instant—

If it is necessary to know who the Archak was at the time of the Inam settlement, the Inam Supdt will be asked to send up an inam extract within the 10th instant.

Submitted for orders.

MR(GW) 4.9.1915

Yes. Call for the case. Also call for an extract for Inam Supdt's Diary the temple-wise cash grant.

L.
6-9-15

Submitted with Dft. notice to the appellants and a Dft. letter to the Inam Supdt calling for the Inam extract.

No cash grant to this Temple

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No.	
	is mentioned in the cash grant Register. Submitted. W(54) 7.9.1915. On further consideration I think it not quite necessary to post the case at this stage as the punishment was only disciplinary. Put up the file after receipt of Court from the Inam Commr
4	
5.	From, The Supdt of Inam Settlement Letter no 38 of 13.9.1915. Submits the Inam statement called for in Serial 4. In the Inam register, the Lands are noted as Devadaya and are intended for the support of the Temple. In Colm 15 thereof, it is stated that Pujari Venkatiah is in possession

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 1-15.

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 2364 of 1914

Serial No.

in possession of the land.
Submitted.
AV (9/17) BG 25/9
16-9-1915

Subd to 1st member.
This is a case of
high handed men which deserves
being severely noticed.
Hanumantharayanaswamy temple is a Mysore institution of local celebrity and enjoys extensive inam lands to an extent of and assessed at Rs 125.
The worship was being conducted by a Srivaishnava Archak according to the Pancharatra agama - it is said hereditary but at least for a number of decades past.
The appellant Venkatachar appears to have been the Archak all along and he seems to have been assisted in the worship by Srini son Krishna on the 13th of April 1913
a Sabha of the so-called Mahajanas assembled therein a meeting

Submitted
AV/1915

AV

Ag. A)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

(10

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No

of Resolutions was adopted making allegations of bad living against the Amlak and asking him to submit his explanation within three days and a notice of this appeal signed by 5 of the appellants was sent to the Amlak.

fg. J

Shortly after the Amlak appears to have been forcibly removed and a report was sent to the Amildar by the Shanbhogue on 18th idem that the Amlak has been removed by the villagers who had appointed a Shastry to [illegible]

fg. B)

temporarily at this juncture the Taluk appears to have been in the charge of an acting Amildar who instead of taking some vigorous action for the attitude taken up by the Shanbhogue, was content with simply calling for a report from the Sheikdar. The Sheikdar drew up a Mahazar signed by 14 persons that the conduct of the Amlak was reprehensible and in the thought of such a dire-

fg. K

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 234 of 1914.

Serial No.

the acting amildar recommended the dismissal of the archak and appointment of Subramanya Sastry. The report of the amildar dated 17.6.1913 does not record any mischief resulting from the ejection of the archak by the villagers but attempts to justify the ~~Sastriyar~~ partiality of the Sastriyar as having been done by the villagers. The report also makes it appear that no worship was being conducted for some time past and that consequently a new archak was appointed by villagers. No enquiry may but appears to have been held nor has the appellant been given any chance to rebut the charges made against him.

fg 9

A petition to the Dt Coll signed by 19 persons was ~~sent~~ got up in favour of the archak and submitted. In spite of this and on the strength of the amildar's report the Dt Coll (Mr. B.G. Kearey

fg 9)

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—20,000 -30 6 15

(12

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No.

fg. N

of the Accused

X ordered the dismissal, and convicting the accused persons thereunder. Subsequently notwithstanding this the villagers themselves met for the appointment of another Division Magistrate to entertain the appeal in the said matter.

The order of the Sessions Judge deserves to be reversed and the case need be remanded to the Committing Magistrate for a further enquiry. The population of the village is 652 and there are about 150 households in the village. It is not a great matter for an influential Shanbogue to have a Magistrate of this kind prepared or even to call a gathering of 31 persons. Apparently there is also another party favouring the accused. Further it is submitted against probability that an old man of more than 60 years would all of a sudden take to drink & flesh and bad company. Further

It is a matter for consideration whether the conduct of the Shanbogue and the

X Reasons it may perhaps be considered justifiable to restore the appellant and those two persons of whose bad conduct in the participation of the said appearance.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6-15

(13

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No

weakness of the acting amildar should also be noted.

As the case is one concerning the disciplinary punishment of a Mugani employee it has not been posted for hearing. The appellant has however engaged the services of an advocate

Sd
Co.

Were any witnesses examined in either side by the Amildar?

The Dy Commr may be asked to hold a regular inquiry about the allegations against the Pujari & giving both parties an opportunity to examine witnesses & to dispose of the case on merits.

The Sanbog's highhanded act should be duly noticed.

An endorsement may be given to the Petitioner.

20/11/15

Sd 21/11

Sd/- 21/11/15

NOTE– Contn sheet

GPB—90 000—30 6 15

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 234 of 1914

Serial No.	
	Submitted with a Dft Letter to The D.C. NW (Sd) 22.11.1915 Sd 22.11.15 ADM Ld 22.11.15
6	No: 1313 dt 30.11.15, to the, Tuticorin, remanded for further enquiry & Judicial on merits, the case relating to the Archakaship of Heven Temple.
7	No: 1314 dt 30.11.15, to the Inspecting Asst.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

Mysore Gr. VII. for Ser

TWO RUPEES

The Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Mulgarai Department

880

FILE NO. 234-14

Appellant - Petitioner
Venkatachar, Son of
Venkatachar residing in
Herur village, Kasaba Hobli
Kunigal Taluq, Tumkur District

The abovenamed appellant respectfully begs permission to appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur dated 13.8.1914 communicated to the appellant by order issued by the Amildar of Kunigal dated 20.9.1914 removing the appellant from the pujaridship of the temple of Sri-Lakshminarayanaswami in the said village of Herur and to state as follows

2. That since the time of the appellant's forefathers for more

16

than 200 years, the puj
of the temple is being
in the family of the appellant
who has been performing the puja
and who has also been managing
the inam lands for the benefit
of the temple.

3. That the present appellant who is about 75 years of age has been doing the duties of pujari for nearly 60 years past to the satisfaction of the villagers and worshippers of the temple

4. About the early part of april 1913, amanikere Shanbhoge Dodda appanna and his brother amanikere patel Chikka appanna who are very influential in the village, all on a sudden entered the temple and pushed the appellant out of it preventing him from performing the puja and locked the temple.

5. That the said Dodda appanna gave the appellant a notice

dated 13.4.1913 signed by himself alone, containing false and defamatory statements as to the Conduct of the appellant and informing him that he has been dismissed from the office of pujari. This he has done of his own accord and without any authority from the amildar, Dy: Commissioner, or any other public functionary. A copy of the said notice is herewith produced.

6. That the appellant on or about 20.5.1913 presented a petition to the Dy: Commissioner of Dumka fully representing all the facts, referring also to the notice issued to him by Wodda appanna, praying that necessary enquiries may be made and orders passed permitting him to continue to perform the puja as before. Subsequently the appellant presented several petitions to the Dy Comr. as also

to the amildar

7. That a petition signed by several residents of the village of Herur was presented to the Dy: Commissioner, Tumkur District stating that false allegations of bad conduct had been made against the appellant by Dodda appanna and others, that the appellant was for no reason or fault prevented by Doddappanna and others from performing puja in the temple, and praying that the appellant may be permitted without interruption to continue to perform the puja as before. A copy of this petition is herewith produced.

8. The Dy: Commissioner without any sort of enquiry and without giving the appellant an opportunity to explain matters, passed the order dated 1/3 · 8. 1914 depriving the appellant of his family right of pujare of the temple

and appointing one Subramanyashastri as the pujari of the temple. A copy of this order is herewith produced.

9. The appellant submits that neither the amildar nor the Dy: Commissioner made any enquiry and that no specific acts of bad conduct disentitling the appellant from being the pujari of the temple, have either been alleged or substantiated. That the general allegations of misconduct are completely false, unfounded and malicious.

10. The appellant leaves it to Government to consider whether the appellant who has been doing the duties of pujari satisfactorily for the past 60 years, and who is so old as 75 years would go to the extent of committing acts of bad conduct disentitling him from performing the puja of the temple.

11. That Dodda appanna who are very influential people in the village wanted to have full control and management of the Mannthi lands to which the appellant did not agree. In consequence there have been misunderstandings for the past 2 or 3 years and this has been the cause for Dodda appanna and others to unlawfully deprive the appellant of his pujari rights and make false reports against the appellant and manage to get orders passed depriving the appellant of his legal hereditary right

12. The appellant submits that from the petition of the villagers produced herewith, it will be clear that the appellant had been satisfactorily doing the puja of the temple, that the appellant has been of good conduct, and that the allegations of misconduct made by Dodda appanna and others

were false and actuated by ill feeling towards the appellant

13. The appellant has a large family depending for its support upon the income as pujaris of the temple

14. The appellant and his ancestors have built at great cost a house in the said village. The Taluq authorities have been pressing the appellant to give up the house. The appellant submits that the house is his family property which he is entitled to be in possession and enjoyment.

15. The puja in temple was being performed from time immemorial in accordance with the 'Pancharatra Agama' till the appellant was driven out of the temple, i.e about april 1913. For a long time after april 1913 no puja was being performed. Subramanyashastri who has been appointed under the order of the Dy: commissioner is not of the 'Pancharatra Agama' and he is not performing the puja now

Dodda appanna and
the puja performed by one
who also is not of the 'Pancharaha
Agama' The appellant submits
that the puja in the temple in
question has to be performed by
a pujari of the 'Pancharaha Agama'

16. The appellant humbly and
respectfully prays that the Govern-
-ment may be pleased to send for
the records to give the appellant
an opportunity to represent his
Case to Government either in person
or through Council, and to pass
orders reversing the order of the
Dy! Commissioner and permitting
the appellant to perform the puja
of the temple as usual. The appellant
further prays that pending the
disposal of this appeal, it may be
ordered that the appellant may
not be disturbed in his possession
of the Inamti lands, and of the house
which belongs to him and in which
he has been residing

M Narasimhaiah
advocate
28/11/14

B Ramanna
advocate
30/11/14

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸಿ 19 = ನೇ ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ		ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
	Vs.	

ಮಾ|| ರಾ|| ಎಸ್. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ, ಬಿ. ಎಲ್., ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ.
B Ramanna advocate ಅವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅವೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಿಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರಿನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿ ಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ. ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ನೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹಾ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಆಧಾರದಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 27.11.44

ಸಹಿ.

ಈ ವೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾವಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜುವಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ವೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾವಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

The Irish Press, Bangalore City

B Ramanna adv 30.11.44

14

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 1322 Ent'd 4/2/14
Imm 234-14-2

The accompanying Petition Registered No 880 dated 30.11.14 received at the Genl and Revenue Secretariat from Venkatachar of Herur village, Kunigal taluk, appealing against the Dy. Commrs. order directing his removal from the Archakship of Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swami Temple in the village,

4

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dist for report together with records in the case

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.

BANGALORE

... . 191 .

P T O

B 2/12

GPB—1,000 -S 13

No 13283 dt 14. 12

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....

.....

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಪಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮೇಜವಾನಿಕೆಯ 30-11-14

ಸಾರ್ತು ಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ಭಗ್ಯೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

.....191 .

By Order,

7/12

Secretary to Govt.

GPB—100,000- 29-7-14

194

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Dated 27 August 1915.

Despatched 28

Received

General No. 1

No.

Enclosure No. 1 Petition of Umaca

Petition upon the appeal
petition of Archak Venkatachar
of Huliyar, Kunigal Taluk
for removal from the office of
Archak of Honnagar temple
of the place

Deputy Commissioner,

Dated, 27th August 1915.

DIARY No. 37...

19/5/19 16
SECTION Muzrai
FILE No 2322-14
SERIAL No. 3

From

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 1322-Muz.234-14-2 dated the 14th December 1914, forwarding for report with records, a petition from Venkatachar of Herur village in the Kunigal Taluk, appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order, directing his removal from the Archakship of Sri Lakshminarayanaswami temple in the place, I have the honor to state as follows:-

On 20th May 1913, Venkatachar presented a petition to this Office, stating that Shanbhogue Appanniah and others of Herur village, turned him out of the temple of Sri Lakshmi-Narayanaswami of which he was doing the worship, after serving on him a notice, signed by the Shanbhogue, as to why he should not be removed from the Archikship, as his character was not good. The petition was sent to the Amildar for enquiry and report. The Amildar enquired into the matter and submitted a report No. 1482 dated the 17th June 1913, recommending the dismissal of the petitioner from the archakship on the ground --- that he failed to carry out the necessary repairs to the temple as per his muchalika dated the 2nd August 1908 and allowed the temple to get into a worse state, and that he was stated to be of bad character, the villagers of Herur having executed a --- mahazar as to their having been the man drink and not properly attend

27

attend to the worship. Thereupon, my predecessor Mr. B.J. Kumarasami Naik passed orders directing the removal of Venkatachar from the --- archakship (Vide his order dated 1st August 1914). The present --- appeal has been preferred against this order.

2. The appeal petition received with your Official Memorandum under reply together with the Vernacular records on the subject, is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner
for Deputy Commissioner absent
from the station.

G.R.S. 26] Immediate Issue 15-day 28

SUBJECT Calls for the inam extract

Diary No. of letter replied to 398

Section Inam
File No. 234
Serial No. 1914

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No. 765

Date of issue 10. 9 1915

To The Superintendent of Inam Settlements,

Signed by Commissioner

Sir,-

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish as a very urgent this office ~~not later than the 15th instant~~ with copies of detailed inam registers pertaining to the grant of lands measuring a g 54-8 and assessed at

29

As 103-4-0, as inam
in the villages of Herur
and Herur Kunchagalpur
Anami Fank for the
up-keep of Sri Lakshmi
Narayana Swami Temple
at Herur in the Kunigal
Taluk.

I have etc.

Sd/-
7/9/15
for Rev. Secretary.

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—14 2 14

10

No. 38
Inc. Two

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 13 September 1915

466

From D. K. Danarhah
~~D. Shama Rao~~, Esq., B.A.
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

19/9/16
SECTION Inc 2
284-14

To

V. R. Shyagaraja Iyer, Esq. M.A.

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Genl. and~~ Rev. Depts.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No. 765 dated 10th September 1915, I have the honor to forward herewith, Copies of Inam Registers pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to the Sri Lakshminarayan devasthan temple at Heroor in the villages of Heroor and Heroor Kenchagal amani lands in the Kunigal Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant
K D Danarhah
Superintendent of Inams
Settlements in Mysore

GPB—300—2-9-16

(1) Sept 1915
aV

From

The Superintendent,
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated } 13 Septr 15
Despatched }

No. 38
Enc. Nwo.

SUBJECT

Forwards Copies of Inam Registers pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Sri Lakshmi Narayana Devaru

Copy of The Register of Inams in the village of Heroor

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INA

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	
	Devadaya	Swalpa Inamdi account 1842 wet	2-4-0	4.04
		Pynaisby 1870 wet	1-9-12	3.08

3

Kunigal in the Taluk of Kunigal

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc, or liable to quit-rent, i e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess d by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the verage assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
16-4-8 at Rs 6 an acre 18-7-8		For the support of the temple which is said to be in good order and the Puja performed.	6-6-4 1-10-7 add average payment of Chatrubhagam 8-0-11 Total Rs Fifteen years Chatrubhagam payment Rs as P. From 1855-1862 0-0-0 1863 — 11-10-0 1864 to 1867 — 0-0-0 1868 — — 7-0-6 1869 — — 0-0-0 1870 — — 6-4-0 24-14-6 average for a year 1-10-7

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

Tumkur

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
		Swalpa Inamti account 1842
"		Wet 2-4-0 garden 1-2-0 Lakshmi Narayan devaru

of the Nandidrug

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the inam has been sub-divided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Heroor — Lakshmi Narayan Divan — Poojam			

Division

GPB—100—29-3-08

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
This is also a Chatumbhagam tenure and the Poojari pays in addition to the fodi 1/4 of the produce during the season in which the wet crop is raised on the Inam — To be Confirmed on 1/8 quit-rent plus the amount in col 6, viz, Rs 9-8-0 Compulsory: (Sd) C. Seshia Rao asstt 29.12.70.	To be attached — (Sd) B. Gopalian 29.12.70 To be attached (Sd) D. Clerk Inam Commission Feby. 7.71. To be released and restored with effect from the 29th December last on a Combined quit-rent of Rs 9-8-0. The Commutation of the Chatumbhaga payment may be accepted. (Sd) B. Gopalian. 14.3.72 Confirmed on a Combined quit-rent of Rs 9-8-0. (Sd) Robert Cole 18.3.72.
Bangalore 13 Septr 1910	Copy Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Heroor

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INA

Number of case or entire holding, under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
	Devadaya	Swalpa Inamti account 1842 Dry	2-12-4	34.38

Kunigal in the Taluk of Kunigal

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
13-8-0	"	For the support of the temple, which is said to be in good order and the puja performed	5-13-1

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the claim is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonnah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

Swalpa Inamdi account
1842

Dry 2-12-a
Lakshmi Narayanaswamy

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the land has been sub-divided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, etc	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Heroor

Lakshmi Narayan

Swamy

Sadasiva Subbaiah

s in possession of

the land.

GPD—100—29-3-06.

NGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
To be confirmed on ⅛ quit-rent plus the existing ! sodiq Rs 7— (Sd.) C. Soobaraz Compulsory asst 23-7-70	To be confirmed and continued on a Combined quit-rent to 7-1-0 (Sd.) B. Gopalich. 28/12/70. Confirmed subject to a quit rent of Rs 7. (Sd.) J. Clerk Inam Commissioner Feby 7. 71
Bangalore 13 Sept 1915	Copy Abstract Superintendent — Inam setts in Mysore.

G.R.S. 261

relating to Hemavathi temple Archakship Papers

File No. 134

Serial No. 1314 6

Diary No. of letter replied to 3715

No. 1313. Temple

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

19 .

To

The Dy. Commissioner,

Tumkur Dist.

Date of issue 30. 11 915

Signed by W L Anantanarayanaiyer

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 94 D 28th August 15 reporting on the appeal petition from Archak Venkatachar of Hemavathi in the Kunigal Taluk appealing against the Dy. Commrs. order directing the removal of the appellant from the Archakship of Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swami Temple.

over.

SUBJECT.

at this place, I am
directed to request that you
will be so good as to have
a regular inquiry held in regard to
allegations against the ~~Rajpur~~ Norbek.
giving both parties an opportunity
to examine witnesses, and
to dispose of the case
on merits. I am to add
that the Sanbog's high handed
act in removing the appellant
from the office on his own
responsibility, may also be suitably
~~should be duly~~
noticed.

2) The entire record connected
with the case are herewith
returned.

List of enclosures,

By order

Sd.
22-11-15
for Rose - Secretary

Sd
18th members
assent

Sd
22-11-15

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28 2 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No 1314 Enc: 4
Mis 284-14-7

Dt 30 11-1915

GPB—20,000—7 7 14

విభాగాల్లో ఉన్న ఖాళీలు అవసరాన్ని
బట్టి, ఆయా సేవలను పొందేందుకు
ఖాళీగా ఉన్న పోస్టులను భర్తీ చేసేందుకు
వీలుగా ~~ఈ విధంగా~~ ఉత్తర్వులు
జారీ చేయడమైనది. ~~ఇట్టి ఉత్తర్వులను~~
~~అమలు చేసేందుకు వీలుగా~~
~~తగు చర్యలు తీసుకోవలసిందిగా~~.

ఆయా ఖాళీలను భర్తీ చేయవలసిందిగా
ఆదేశించడమైనది.

By order,
Sd/-
22.11.15

To
The Revenue Secretary

Sd/-
22.11.15